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2017-18 performance audit work

1. In determining the breadth of work undertaken during the year, we considered the extent of accumulated audit and inspection knowledge as well as other available sources of information including Torfaen County Borough Council's (the Council) own mechanisms for review and evaluation. For 2017-18, we undertook improvement assessment work at all councils. We also undertook work at all councils in relation to the Wellbeing of Future Generations Act, a service-user-perspective themed review and a review of overview and scrutiny arrangements. At some councils, we supplemented this work with local risk-based audits, identified in the Audit Plan for 2017-18.

2. The work carried out since the last Annual Improvement Report (AIR), including that of the relevant regulators, is set out in Exhibit 2.

The Council is meeting its statutory requirements in relation to continuous improvement

3. Based on, and limited to, the work carried out by the Wales Audit Office and relevant regulators, the Auditor General believes that the Council is likely to comply with the requirements of the Local Government Measure (2009) during 2018-19.
Recommendations and proposals for improvement

Given the wide range of services provided by the Council and the challenges it is facing, it would be unusual if we did not find things that can be improved. The Auditor General is able to:

- make proposals for improvement – if proposals are made to the Council, we would expect it to do something about them and we will follow up what happens;
- make formal recommendations for improvement – if a formal recommendation is made, the Council must prepare a response to that recommendation within 30 working days;
- conduct a special inspection, and publish a report and make recommendations; and
- recommend to ministers of the Welsh Government that they intervene in some way.

During the course of the year, the Auditor General did not make any formal recommendations. However, we have made a number of proposals for improvement and these are repeated in this report. We will monitor progress against them and relevant recommendations made in our national reports (Appendix 3) as part of our improvement assessment work.
### Audit, regulatory and inspection work reported during 2017-18

#### Exhibit 1 – Audit, regulatory and inspection work reported during 2017-18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue date</th>
<th>Brief description</th>
<th>Conclusions</th>
<th>Proposals for improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| July 2018  | **Aligning the Levers of Change – Current Successes and Remaining Challenges**     | • People’s views demonstrate that the Council faces a range of challenges in different communities to increase food waste recycling.  
• People recognise that they have the greatest role to play in increasing food waste recycling and a large proportion understand how their actions can affect food waste recycling.  
• People consider that the public has the greatest responsibility for improving food waste, with food producers and sellers having slightly less responsibility and public services having the least responsibility.  
• The Council needs to consider how those people who do not currently recycle food waste can be encouraged to engage. A growing number of people felt that easier facilities could influence levels of food waste recycling. A small group of people identified that fines and regulations could influence people’s behaviours.  
• People identified that greater knowledge and information and more resources could influence recycling rates.  
• The Council can use the public’s insights about the different factors that affect food waste recycling rates in different communities to design its future strategic actions. | None                       |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue date</th>
<th>Brief description</th>
<th>Conclusions</th>
<th>Proposals for improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| June 2018  | ‘Scrutiny: Fit for the Future?’ Review | • The Council values scrutiny, and member attendance at committee meetings has improved, though there is some confusion about the role of Executive Members, and scrutiny members would benefit from receiving more comprehensive information. <br> • Scrutiny committee meetings are well conducted but more timely and focused work planning would help committees to have more consistent impact. <br> • The Council has arrangements in place to monitor the impact of scrutiny activity, however impacts are not always clear. | P1 The Council should ensure improved coordination of the work of overview and scrutiny committees and Cabinet by:  <br> a clarifying the role of Cabinet members in overview and scrutiny committees; and  

b more closely aligning the work programmes of overview and scrutiny committees with that of the Cabinet to enable the committees to have greater impact in considering proposed decisions and policies.  

P2 Overview and scrutiny committees should ensure that the Well-being of Future Generations Act is actively considered as part of their approach to undertaking scrutiny activity.  

P3 The Council should ensure that members of overview and scrutiny committees have access to comprehensive and timely information when undertaking scrutiny activity.  

P4 The Council should put in place arrangements for more clearly assessing the effectiveness and impact of overview and scrutiny activity. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue date</th>
<th>Brief description</th>
<th>Conclusions</th>
<th>Proposals for improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October 2017</td>
<td><strong>Business Plan Review</strong>&lt;br&gt;Follow up to recommendation in our Corporate Assessment that the Council should use its business plan to clarify how the three priorities within the Corporate Plan 3 (CP3) will be delivered, as well as setting out the non-priority areas where financial and other resources will be reduced.</td>
<td>Based on our review to date, we are reassured that the Council is in the process of addressing the proposal for improvement mentioned above but recognise, as do you, that the Council needs to strengthen its Business Plan to clarify how it will deliver its corporate priorities and allocate its resources to fully address the proposal for improvement.,</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2018</td>
<td><strong>Business Plan Review</strong>&lt;br&gt;Follow up to interim recommendations made in October 2017</td>
<td>The Council has responded positively to our interim findings and we remain assured that it is strengthening its Business Plan to clarify how it will deliver its corporate priorities and allocate its resources.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Outstanding Performance Audit work in 2017-18 audit outline still in progress to be reported in the next Annual Improvement Report**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue date</th>
<th>Brief description</th>
<th>Conclusions</th>
<th>Proposals for improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Thematic Review: Service-user perspective review</strong></td>
<td>A user-focussed review. We are focussing on food waste recycling in the Trevethin area of the County Borough. The project will seek to understand what is driving lower food recycling rates in that community.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Local Work: Waste Follow Up</strong></td>
<td>This project will follow up on the Council’s progress in addressing the proposals for improvement made in our ‘Waste Management Follow-up Review’ issued in June 2015. This project will build on the Council’s own assessment of its progress against the proposals for improvement. We are currently agreeing the project timescales with the Council.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue date</td>
<td>Brief description</td>
<td>Conclusions</td>
<td>Proposals for improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 October 2017</td>
<td><strong>Annual audit letter 2016-17</strong></td>
<td>• The Council complied with its responsibilities relating to financial reporting and use of resources.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Letter summarising the key messages arising from the Auditor General's statutory responsibilities under the Public Audit (Wales) Act 2004 and his reporting responsibilities under the Code of Audit Practice. The Annual Audit Letter is in Appendix 2 of this report.</td>
<td>• We are satisfied that the Council has appropriate arrangements in place to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Our work to date on certification of grant claims and returns has not identified significant issues that would impact on the 2016-17 accounts or key financial systems.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Improvement planning and reporting**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue date</th>
<th>Brief description</th>
<th>Conclusions</th>
<th>Proposals for improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April 2017</td>
<td><strong>Wales Audit Office annual improvement plan audit</strong></td>
<td>The Council has complied with its statutory improvement planning duties.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Review of the Council’s published plans for delivering on improvement objectives.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2017</td>
<td><strong>Wales Audit Office annual assessment of performance audit</strong></td>
<td>The Council has complied with its statutory improvement reporting duties.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Review of the Council’s published performance assessment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reviews by inspection and regulation bodies**

No reviews by inspection and regulation bodies have taken place during the time period covered in this report.
Appendix 1

Status of this report

The Local Government (Wales) Measure 2009 (the Measure) requires the Auditor General to undertake a forward-looking annual improvement assessment, and to publish an annual improvement report, for each improvement authority in Wales. Improvement authorities (defined as local councils, national parks, and fire and rescue authorities) have a general duty to ‘make arrangements to secure continuous improvement in the exercise of [their] functions’.

The annual improvement assessment considers the likelihood that an authority will comply with its duty to make arrangements to secure continuous improvement. The assessment is also the main piece of work that enables the Auditor General to fulfil his duties. Staff of the Wales Audit Office, on behalf of the Auditor General, produce the annual improvement report. The report discharges the Auditor General’s duties under section 24 of the Measure, by summarising his audit and assessment work in a published annual improvement report for each authority. The report also discharges his duties under section 19 to issue a report certifying that he has carried out an improvement assessment under section 18 and stating whether (as a result of his improvement plan audit under section 17) he believes that the authority has discharged its improvement planning duties under section 15.

The Auditor General may also, in some circumstances, carry out special inspections (under section 21), which will be reported to the authority and Ministers, and which he may publish (under section 22). An important ancillary activity for the Auditor General is the co-ordination of assessment and regulatory work (required by section 23), which takes into consideration the overall programme of work of all relevant regulators at an improvement authority. The Auditor General may also take account of information shared by relevant regulators (under section 33) in his assessments.
Appendix 2

Annual Audit Letter

Reference: TCBC/JM/SM
Date issued: 2 October 2017

Ms Alison Ward
Chief Executive
Torfaen County Borough Council
Civic Centre
Pontypool
NP4 6YB

Dear Alison

Annual Audit Letter – Torfaen County Borough Council 2016-17

This letter summarises the key messages arising from our statutory responsibilities under the Public Audit (Wales) Act 2004 and our reporting responsibilities under the Code of Audit Practice.

The Council complied with its responsibilities relating to financial reporting and use of resources

It is the Council’s responsibility to:

• put systems of internal control in place to ensure the regularity and lawfulness of transactions and to ensure that its assets are secure;
• maintain proper accounting records;
• prepare a Statement of Accounts in accordance with relevant requirements; and
• establish and keep under review appropriate arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.
The Public Audit (Wales) Act 2004 requires me to:

• provide an audit opinion on the accounting statements;

• review the Council’s arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources; and

• issue a certificate confirming that we have completed the audit of the accounts.

Local authorities in Wales prepare their accounting statements in accordance with the requirements of the CIPFA/LASAAC Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom. This Code is based on International Financial Reporting Standards. On 19 July 2017 we issued an unqualified audit opinion on the accounting statements confirming that they present a true and fair view of the Council’s and the Pension Fund’s financial position and transactions. Our report is contained within the Statement of Accounts. The key matters arising from the accounts audit were reported to members of the Audit Committee in our Audit of Financial Statements report on the 5 July 2017.

• The Council continues to demonstrate effective arrangements in the preparation of its financial statements, enabling us to conclude our audit on 19 July 2017, significantly ahead of the statutory deadline of 30 September. The Council has continued to provide good quality draft financial statements and accompanying working papers for audit. These were available for us to commence our audit fieldwork on 15 May 2016.

• All misstatements identified during the course of our audit of the financial statements were corrected by management.

• We identified a correction of £367,000 in relation to donated fixed assets which were incorrectly recorded in the Balance Sheet as a revaluation rather than through fixed asset additions.

• In addition, we identified a small number of disclosure adjustments, principally relating to the Expenditure and Funding analysis note and the financial instruments note. All disclosure corrections were processed with no effect on the Council’s reported outturn position.
• We identified one recommendation in relation to internal controls where journals below £200,000 are not always subject to review. We highlighted to those charged with governance the risk that inappropriate or erroneous journals below £200,000 could be processed and impact on the financial statements.

As administering authority to the Greater Gwent Pension Fund, the Council includes the pension fund’s accounts within its financial statements. The following items were identified in relation to our audit of the Pension Fund:

• On 11 April 2013, the University of Wales, Newport (UWN) and Glamorgan University merged to form the University of South Wales. Discussions have continued on the transfer of assets and liabilities relating to UWN from Greater Gwent (Torfaen) Pension Fund to Rhondda Cynon Taff Pension Fund as a result of this merger. A payment of £40 million was made to Rhondda Cynon Taff in the 2015-16 year for this transfer, leaving a £5 million liability in the Net Asset Statement at 31 March 2016. Following discussions with the scheme actuary, management increased the outstanding liability by £1.365 million to £6.365 million at 31 March 2017, representing the original actuarial estimate, uplifted for investment market returns and reduced for the payment already made.

• Our audit identified that this increase in liability was incorrectly classified in note 10 as ‘Individual Transfers to the Schemes’. This should have been recorded under ‘Group Transfer out to other schemes’. Management have processed this adjustment.

• We identified one misstatement in the financial statements, which was discussed with management, but remains uncorrected on the grounds of immateriality. We reviewed all post year-end payments of retirement allowances to members made up to 16 June 2017, relating to retirements prior to 31 March 2017. We compared this to the accrual for these costs in the financial statements and identified that payments made in respect of individuals who retired prior to 31 March 2017 were £511,000 higher than those accrued in the financial statements.
We are satisfied that the Council has appropriate arrangements in place to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources

Our consideration of the Council’s arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness has been based on the audit work undertaken on the accounts as well as placing reliance on the work completed under the Local Government (Wales) Measure 2009. The Auditor General will highlight areas where the effectiveness of these arrangements has yet to be demonstrated or where improvements could be made when he publishes his Annual Improvement Report.

We issued a certificate confirming that the audit of the accounts has been completed on 19 July 2017

Our work to date on certification of grant claims and returns has not identified significant issues that would impact on the 2016-17 accounts or key financial systems.

A more detailed report on our grant certification work will follow in January 2018 once this year’s programme of certification work is complete.

The financial audit fee for 2016-17 is currently expected to be in line with the agreed fee set out in the Annual Audit Plan.

Yours sincerely

Grant Thornton UK LLP
For and on behalf of the Auditor General for Wales
### Appendix 3

#### National report recommendations 2017-18

**Exhibit 2 – National report recommendations 2017-18**

Summary of proposals for improvement relevant to local government, included in national reports published by the Wales Audit Office, since publication of the last AIR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of report</th>
<th>Title of review</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June 2017</td>
<td><strong>Savings Planning in Councils in Wales</strong></td>
<td>The report did not include any recommendations or proposals for improvement, although proposals for improvement were included in local reports issued to each Council.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2017</td>
<td><strong>Public Procurement in Wales</strong></td>
<td>The report contained seven recommendations. Six of the recommendations were for the Welsh Government, one of the recommendations was for public bodies:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R3</td>
<td>It was clear from our sampling that some procurement strategies are out of date and there has also been a mixed response to new policy and legislation, such as the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015. We recommend that public bodies review their procurement strategies and policies during 2017-18 and on an annual basis thereafter to ensure that they reflect wider policy and legislative changes and support continuous improvement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2017</td>
<td><strong>Good governance when determining significant service changes – National Summary</strong></td>
<td>The report did not include any recommendations or proposals for improvement, although proposals for improvement were included in local reports issued to each Council. The report was designed primarily to provide insight, share existing practice and prompt further conversations and discussions between councils and other organisations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December 2017</td>
<td><strong>Local Government Financial Reporting 2016-17</strong></td>
<td>The report did not include any recommendations or proposals for improvement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of report</td>
<td>Title of review</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| January 2018  | How Local Government manages demand – Homelessness | The report contained eight recommendations all of which were for local authorities:  

**R1** Implementing the Housing (Wales) Act 2014 requires local authorities to develop services which are focused on preventing homelessness and reducing demand. These are very different to traditional casework led homelessness services, and prevention work requires new skills and early interaction with users and potential users. We found local authorities’ progress in revising and strengthening services is variable (paragraphs 1.12 to 1.20). **We recommend that local authorities:**  

- ensure their staff are sufficiently skilled to deal with the new demands of mediating, problem solving, negotiating and influencing with homeless people; and  
- review and reconfigure their services to engage more effectively with homeless and potentially homeless people to prevent homelessness.  

**R2** The Welsh Government provided funding to support local authorities to implement the Housing (Wales) Act 2014 and this funding has been critical in enabling new preventative services to be developed. The funding is in place until 2019-20 but authorities need to ensure they use to headspace provided by these resources to revise their services to deliver their responsibilities in the future (paragraphs 1.21 to 1.28). **We recommend that local authorities review their funding of homelessness services to ensure that they can continue to provide the widest possible preventative approach needed. Reviews should consider use of Supporting People as well as General Council fund monies to support delivery of the authority’s homelessness duties. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of report</th>
<th>Title of review</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| January 2018   | How Local Government manages demand – Homelessness | R3 How services are configured and managed at first point of contact can significantly influence how effective local authorities are in managing and reducing demand. Easy to access services which maximise usage, avoid gate keeping and focus on early solutions can significantly improve the prospects for successful homelessness prevention. We found that some authority point of entry systems are poorly designed which reduces the authority’s prospects for early intervention to prevent homelessness from occurring (paragraphs 2.4 to 2.11). **We recommend that local authorities:**  
  • design services to ensure there is early contact with service users;  
  • use ‘triage’ approaches to identify and filter individuals seeking help to determine the most appropriate response to address their needs; and  
  • test the effectiveness of first point of contact services to ensure they are fit for purpose. |
|                |                | R4 Establishing clear standards of service that set out what the authority provides and is responsible for is critical to ensuring people know what they are entitled to receive and what they need to resolve themselves. We found that authorities are not always providing clear, concise and good quality information to help guide people to find the right advice quickly and efficiently (paragraphs 2.12 to 2.17). **We recommend that local authorities publish service standards that clearly set out what their responsibilities are and how they will provide services to ensure people know what they are entitled to receive and what they must do for themselves. Service standards should:**  
  • be written in plain accessible language;  
  • be precise about what applicants can and cannot expect, and when they can expect resolution;  
  • clearly set out the applicant’s role in the process and how they can help the process go more smoothly and quickly;  
  • be produced collaboratively with subject experts and include the involvement of people who use the service(s);  
  • effectively integrate with the single assessment process;  
  • offer viable alternatives to the authority’s services; and  
  • set out the appeals and complaints processes. These should be based on fairness and equity for all involved and available to all. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of report</th>
<th>Title of review</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| January 2018  | **How Local Government manages demand – Homelessness**                          | **R5** Local authorities need to design services to engage with service users effectively and efficiently, but current standards are too variable to ensure service users are getting access to the advice they need (paragraphs 2.18 to 2.24). **To improve current performance we recommend that local authorities make better use of their websites to help manage demand by:**  
  • testing the usability and effectiveness of current website information using our lines of enquiry set out in Appendix 5;  
  • increasing and improving the range, quality and coverage of web-based information; making better use of online applications; and  
  • linking more effectively to information from specialist providers’ and advice specialists, such as Citizens Advice. |
<p>|               |                                                                                 | <strong>R6</strong> The Housing (Wales) Act 2014 introduces a new duty on social services and housing associations to collaborate with local authority homelessness services in preventing homelessness. We found that these arrangements are not operating effectively and service responses to prevent homelessness and assist homeless people are not always being provided, nor are they consistently effective (paragraphs 3.13 to 3.25). <strong>We recommend that local authorities set out and agree their expectations of partners identifying how they will work together to alleviate homelessness. The agreement should be reviewed regularly and all partners’ performance reviewed to identify areas for improvement.</strong> |
|               |                                                                                 | <strong>R7</strong> Local authorities monitoring systems and evaluation approaches to ensure compliance with their responsibility under the Equality Act 2010 and the Public Sector Equality Duty are not working as well as they should (paragraph 3.35 to 3.39). <strong>We recommend that local authorities address weaknesses in their equalities monitoring, and ensure that their homelessness service accurately records and evaluates appropriate data to demonstrate equality of access for all service users that the local authority has a duty towards.</strong> |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of report</th>
<th>Title of review</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January 2018</td>
<td>How Local Government manages demand – Homelessness</td>
<td>R8  Managing demand can be challenging for local authorities. There are some clear lessons to be learnt with regard to the implementation of the Housing (Wales) Act 2014 and homelessness prevention duties that can be applied to managing demand in other services (paragraphs 4.24 to 4.27). <strong>We recommend that local authorities use the checklist set out in Appendix 10 to undertake a self-assessment on services, to help identify options to improve how they can help manage demand.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| February 2018  | Housing Adaptations | The report contained nine recommendations. One of the recommendations was for the Welsh Government, eight of the recommendations were for local authorities and/or delivery organisations:  
R1  There are many sources of funding and policies for adaptations, which results in disabled and older people receiving very different standards of service (paragraphs 1.5 to 1.9). To address these discrepancies **we recommend that the Welsh Government set standards for all adaptations to ensure disabled and older people receive the same standard of service irrespective of where they live, who their landlord is and whether they own their own home.**  
R2  Most public bodies are clear on how their work on adaptations can positively impact on disabled and older people, and have set suitable aims that provide focus for action. For adaptations, having the right strategic goals also establishes a clear basis for decision-making on who should be prioritised for services and how and where to use resources. However, we found that current policy arrangements have a number of deficiencies and public bodies are not maximising the benefit of their investment (paragraphs 3.8 to 3.15). **We recommend that local authorities work with partner agencies (health bodies, housing associations and Care and Repair) to strengthen their strategic focus for the provision of adaptations by:**  
- setting appropriate strategic objectives for adaptations that focus on wellbeing and independence;  
- improving the quality of information on the demand for adaptations by using a wide range of data to assess need including drawing on and using information from partners who work in the local-authority area; and  
- linking the system for managing and delivering adaptations with adapted housing policies and registers to make best use of already adapted homes. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of report</th>
<th>Title of review</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| February 2018 | Housing Adaptations | R3 Ensuring that all those who might need an adaptation have all the information they need in order to apply for and receive an adaptation is important. Good-quality and accessible information is therefore essential for delivery organisations to demonstrate fair access and transparency. However, we identified weaknesses in the quality and coverage of public information relating to housing adaptations (paragraphs 2.6 to 2.15). **We recommend that delivery organisations provide information on housing adaptations in both Welsh and English, and accessible formats including braille, large fonts, audio versions and other languages. Information should be promoted widely via a range of media including social media, websites and published information, and also through key partners. Preferably, information should be produced jointly and policies aligned between delivery bodies to improve coverage and usage.**  
R4 Given the wide number of routes into services, delivery organisations need to ensure they have robust systems to deal effectively and quickly with applications. However, we found that the processes used by delivery organisations vary widely and often create difficulties for disabled and older people seeking assistance (paragraphs 2.16 to 2.19). **We recommend that delivery organisations streamline applications by creating single comprehensive application forms covering all organisations within a local-authority area that are available via partners and online.**  
R5 Delivery of adaptations can be delayed by a variety of factors (paragraphs 2.20 to 2.33). To improve timeliness in delivery we recommend that:  
- the Welsh Government reviews whether local authorities should continue to use the means test for Disabled Facilities Grants (DFGs);  
- local authorities provide or use home improvement agency services to support disabled and older people to progress their DFG applications efficiently;  
- delivery organisations work with planning authorities to fast track and streamline adaptations that require approvals;  
- delivery organisations use Trusted Assessors to undertake less complex adaptation assessments; and  
- the Welsh Government streamlines its approval processes for Physical Adaptation Grants (PAGs). |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of report</th>
<th>Title of review</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>February 2018</td>
<td><strong>Housing Adaptations</strong></td>
<td>Most local authorities, housing associations and Care and Repair agencies have established processes to appoint, oversee and manage builder and/or contractor performance. However, we found wide variations in how delivery organisations arrange, contract and deliver building works (paragraphs 2.37 to 2.44). <strong>We recommend that delivery organisations:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• introduce formal systems for accrediting contractors to undertake adaptations. These should include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‒ standards of customer care such as keeping to appointments, keeping the site tidy, controlling noise etc;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‒ vetting of financial standing, tax and VAT status;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‒ promoting good health and safety practices;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‒ requiring the use of warranty schemes;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‒ ensuring that adequate insurance is held; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‒ requiring references.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• use framework agreements and partnered contracts to deliver adaptations;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• address weaknesses in the contracting of adaptations, updating Schedule of Rates used to tender work and undertaking competitive tendering to support value for money in contracting;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• develop effective systems to manage and evaluate contractor performance by:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‒ setting an appropriate range of information to judge performance and delivery of works covering timeliness of work; quality of work; applicant/tenant feedback; cost of work (including variations); health and safety record; and customer feedback;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‒ regularly reporting and evaluating performance to identify opportunities to improve services; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>‒ providing formal feedback to contractors on their performance covering key issues such as client satisfaction, level and acceptability of variations, right first-time work, post-inspection assessment and completion within budget and on time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of report</td>
<td>Title of review</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2018</td>
<td>Housing Adaptations</td>
<td>R7 Maximising impact and value for money in provision of adaptations requires effective joint working between housing organisations and health and social care services to ensure the needs of often very vulnerable people can be met, and their quality of life improved. However, our findings highlight that delivery organisations continue to have a limited strategic focus on adaptations, concentrating on organisational specific responses rather than how best collectively to meet the needs of disabled or older people (paragraphs 3.16 to 3.21). We recommend that local authorities work with partner agencies (health bodies, housing associations and Care and Repair) to develop and improve joint working to maximise both take-up and the benefits of adaptations in supporting independence by pooling of resources, co-locating staff and creating integrated delivery teams.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R8 Most public bodies recognise the value of adaptations in reducing the risk of falls, preventing hospital admissions and speeding up discharge from hospital. However, the importance of adaptations is not always reflected in local partnership arrangements and outside of Occupational Therapists, health professionals noted that the different local-authority and housing-association systems for administering, approving and delivering adaptations are difficult to navigate (paragraphs 3.22 to 3.24). To enhance take-up and usage of adaptations with health bodies we recommend that delivery organisations jointly agree and publish joint service standards for delivery of adaptations within each local-authority area. The service standards should clearly set out how each agency approaches delivery of adaptations and how they will provide services to ensure people know what they are entitled to receive. Service Standards should: • be written in plain accessible language; • be precise about what people can and cannot expect to receive; • be produced collaboratively to cover all adaptations services within an area; • set out the eligibility for the different funding streams, application and assessment processes, timescales and review processes; and • offer the viable options and alternatives for adaptations including linking with adapted housing registers to maximise use of already adapted homes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of report</td>
<td>Title of review</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| February 2018 | Housing Adaptations | R9 Having the right performance indicators and regularly reporting performance against these are important for public bodies to manage operational performance, identify areas of improvement and evaluating the positive impact of services. We found that the current range of performance indicator data is extremely limited and not sufficient to enable a full evaluation of performance (paragraphs 4.5 to 4.20). To **effectively manage performance and be able to judge the impact of adaptations**, we recommend that the Welsh Government and delivery organisations:  
  • set appropriate measures to judge both the effectiveness and efficiency of the different systems for delivering adaptations and the impact on wellbeing and independence of those who receive adaptations;  
  • ensure delivery organisations report against their responsibilities in respect of the Equalities Act 2010;  
  • ensure performance information captures the work of all delivery organisations – local authorities, housing associations and Care and Repair agencies; and  
  • annually publish performance for all delivery organisations to enable a whole systems view of delivery and impact to support improvement to be taken. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of report</th>
<th>Title of review</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| April 2018     | **Speak my language: Overcoming language and communication barriers in public services** | The report contained two recommendations. One of the recommendations was for the Welsh Government in conjunction with public bodies and the other recommendation was for public bodies:  
**Ensuring that people who face language and communication barriers can access public services**  
**R1** Public bodies are required to ensure that people can access the services they need. *To take account of the requirements of the 2010 Equality Act and other legislation, we recommend that public bodies regularly review the accessibility of their services to people who do not speak English or Welsh as a main language including deaf people who use sign language. This assessment can include using our checklist.*  
**Developing interpretation and translation services in Wales**  
**R2** Our work with public bodies, interpretation and translation service providers and service users has identified some challenges for interpretation and translation services. *We recommend that the Welsh Government work with public bodies, representative groups and other interested parties to make sure that:*  
- the supply of interpreters is sufficient especially for languages in high demand such as BSL and Arabic;  
- interpreters with specialist training are available to work in mental health services and with people who have experienced trauma or violence; and  
- quality assurance and safeguarding procedures are in place. |
| May 2018       | **Reflecting on Year One: How Have Public Bodies Responded to the Well-being of Future Generations** | The report did not include any recommendations or proposals for improvement. |
The report contained six recommendations all of which were for local authorities:

**R1** People with a learning disability have a right to live independently. The last 50 years have seen significant changes in the provision of accommodation and support. Service provision has moved to a model that enables people to live in the community in ordinary houses throughout Wales (paragraphs 1.3 to 1.10). We recommend that local authorities continue to focus on preventing people becoming dependent on more expensive placements in care homes by providing effective support at home and a range of step up accommodation by:

- improving the evaluation of prevention activity so local authorities understand what works well and why;
- utilising the mapping of prevention services under the Social Services and Well-being (Wales) Act 2014 that covers other agencies and service providers;
- improving the signposting of additional help so carers and support networks can be more resilient and self-reliant. This should include encouraging carers to make long-term plans for care to maintain and protect their dependant’s wellbeing; and
- sharing risk analysis and long term planning data with other local authorities, service providers, and partners to agree a shared understanding of the range of options.

**R2** Population projections show that the number of people with a learning disability will increase in the future, and those aged over 65 and those with a moderate or severe learning disability will rise significantly (paragraphs 1.3 to 1.10). We recommend that local authorities improve their approach to planning services for people with learning disabilities by building on the Regional Partnership Board’s population assessments for people with learning disabilities and agreeing future priorities.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of report</th>
<th>Title of review</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| May 2018      | *Strategic Commissioning of Accommodation Services for Adults with Learning Disabilities* | **R3** Welsh Government produced guidance to local authorities, entitled ‘developing a commissioning strategy for people with a learning disability’ to support authorities in producing strategic plans for the commissioning of learning disability services. In conjunction with codes of practice developed following the Social Services and Well-being (Wales) Act 2014, Welsh Government requires local authorities to develop integrated commissioning options with Local Health Board services. The aim is to provide a joined-up and cost-effective approach to the commissioning of services but our review-highlighted weaknesses in current arrangements (paragraph 2.4 to 2.12). We recommend that local authorities do more to integrate commissioning arrangements with partners and providers and take account of the work of the National Commissioning Board by:  
  • understanding the barriers that exist in stopping or hindering further integration;  
  • improving the quality of joint strategic plans for learning disability services (see also paragraphs 3.11-3.14);  
  • establishing investment models and sustainable financial structures, joint workforce planning and multi-year budgeting; and  
  • developing appropriate governance and data sharing frameworks with key local partners that include a clear process for managing risk and failure. |
|               |                | **R4** Local authorities’ engagement with people with learning disabilities and their carers is variable. Whilst many authority services have positive relationships with advocacy groups, some are less successful in involving these groups and carers in evaluating the quality of services (paragraph 2.18 to 2.20). We recommend that local authorities do more to involve people with learning disabilities and their carers in care planning and agreeing pathways to further independence by:  
  • consistently including people with learning disabilities and their carers in the writing, monitoring and development of care plans;  
  • systematically involving carers and advocacy groups in evaluating the quality of services;  
  • involving people with learning disabilities in procurement processes; and  
  • ensuring communications are written in accessible and appropriate language to improve the understanding and impact of guidance and information. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of report</th>
<th>Title of review</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| May 2018       | **Strategic Commissioning of Accommodation Services for Adults with Learning Disabilities** | **R5** Local Authorities could do more to involve service providers in commissioning and make the tendering process more effective by making it easier to navigate and more outcome focused. However, providers are not as effectively engaged as they should be (paragraph 2.28 to 2.38). We recommend that local authorities collaborate with providers, the third sector and suppliers in understanding challenges, sharing data, and pooling expertise by:  
  • improving the quality range, and accessibility of tendering information; and  
  • working with providers to shape local markets by coming to a common understanding of the opportunities, risks, and future priorities in providing learning disabilities services.  

**R6** Most local authorities do not have effective arrangements to monitor and evaluate their commissioning of learning disability services (paragraphs 3.3 to 3.15). We recommend that local authorities develop a more appropriate set of performance indicators and measures of success that make it easier to monitor and demonstrate the impact of service activity by:  
  • co-designing measures, service and contract performance indicators with service providers, people with learning disabilities and their carers;  
  • ensure commissioners have sufficient cost and qualitative information on the full range of placement and care options available;  
  • equipping commissioners with data to demonstrate the long term financial benefits of commissioning choices, this includes having the right systems and technology;  
  • integrating the outcomes and learning from reviews of care plans into performance measures;  
  • evaluating and then learning from different types of interventions and placements; and  
  • including learning disability services in local authority scrutiny reviews to challenge performance and identify improvements.